

## The Wigner function associated with the Rogers-Szegö polynomials

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2004 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 L643

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/37/50/L01>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.65

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 19:49

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# The Wigner function associated with the Rogers-Szegö polynomials

D Galetti<sup>1</sup>, S S Mizrahi<sup>2</sup> and M Ruzzi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Física Teórica (IFT), Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rua Pamplona 145, 01405-900 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Física, CCET, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Via Washington Luiz km 235, 13565-905 São Carlos, SP, Brazil

E-mail: galetti@ift.unesp.br, salomon@df.ufscar.br and mruzzi@ift.unesp.br

Received 13 April 2004, in final form 14 October 2004

Published 1 December 2004

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/37/L643](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/37/L643)

doi:10.1088/0305-4470/37/50/L01

## Abstract

A Wigner function associated with the Rogers-Szegö polynomials is proposed and its properties are discussed. It is shown that from such a Wigner function it is possible to obtain well-behaved probability distribution functions for both angle and action variables, defined on the compact support  $-\pi \leq \theta < \pi$ , and for  $m \geq 0$ , respectively. The width of the angle probability density is governed by the free parameter  $q$  characterizing the polynomials.

PACS numbers: 02.20.Uw, 02.30.Gp, 03.65.–w

Though the properties of the Wigner function associated with the harmonic oscillator, and therefore with the Hermite polynomials, are quite well known, the same cannot be said concerning the Rogers-Szegö polynomials (RSP) [1–6]

$$H_n(y) \equiv H_n(y; q) = \sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} y^r, \quad (1)$$

with the  $q$ -binomial

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^r)(1-q)\cdots(1-q^{n-r})} = \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_r(q)_{n-r}} \quad (2)$$

for  $r$  and  $n$  integers,  $0 \leq r \leq n$  and  $(0)_n = 1$ . So the aim of the present letter is to propose a Wigner function related to the RSP and discuss the associated probability distribution functions extracted from it.

We recall that the Weyl–Wigner transformation associated with the well-known translational degree of freedom for Cartesian variables and moments of a particle has long been established and widely discussed in the literature [7–12]. On the other hand, in that context, the rotational degree of freedom has been scarcely touched upon. The treatments

of this case were directly inferred from the previous one by means of symmetry arguments [13, 14], by the continuous limit of finite-dimensional Weyl–Wigner mappings [15, 16], or by the implementation of the appropriate kinematics relations [17]. It is clear in all these cases that one is dealing with functions of angular variables that have period  $2\pi$  and the measure of this function space is simply unity.

It was shown that the RSP are associated with a realization of the  $q$ -deformed harmonic oscillator algebra [18–21], and are characterized by a discrete *positive* variable  $n$  and a continuous angle variable  $\theta$  (an action–angle pair in contrast to the Hermite action–position) depending on a deformation parameter  $q$ . We are then able to write a Weyl–Wigner transformation from which we extract angle and action probability distribution functions. As such, we propose that the RSP can be used as good functions to describe phase states.

The RSP can be made periodic, with period  $2\pi$ , and orthonormalized on the circle provided we first perform a proper choice for the variable  $y$ ,  $y = -q^{-1/2} e^{i\varphi}$ , such that

$$H_n(y; q) = H_n(-q^{-1/2} e^{i\varphi}; q) \quad (3)$$

and make use of the Jacobi  $\vartheta_3$ -function [22]

$$\vartheta_3(\varphi; q) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2/2} e^{im\varphi} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\mu m^2 + im\varphi}, \quad (4)$$

( $\mu = -(\ln q)/2$ ) as a measure function, in the same way as the Gaussian function is a measure function for the standard Hermite polynomials associated with the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator (note that  $0 \leq q \leq 1$  implies  $0 \leq \mu \leq \infty$ ).

Due to its properties, the Jacobi  $\vartheta_3$ -function has already been proposed as a valuable function to describe particular limiting situations in quantum optics [23], and also as a coherent state for a particle on a circle where the angular variable now plays an essential role [24, 25]. In this case, the algebra is given in terms of the angular momentum and a unitary operator so that the commutation relation is  $[J, U] = U$ ,  $U$  is a unitary operator associated with angular momentum shifts. Such a commutation relation was discussed long ago in the literature [26, 27], and was also obtained as the limiting case in finite-dimensional phase space representation of quantum mechanics [15]. It is worth noting that the Jacobi  $\vartheta_3$ -function with integer argument was also proposed as a coherent state for the case of any finite-dimensional degrees of freedom [28], since in these cases the eigenvalue problem associated with the discrete Fourier matrix in the discrete basis [29] gives a solution which is directly expressed in terms of that Jacobi function. In this sense we see that the Jacobi  $\vartheta_3$ -function plays a wider role in connection with coherent states and, in particular, with the rotational or action–angle degrees of freedom.

We also give what is sometimes known as the Rogers–Szegő function (RSF)

$$R_n(\varphi; q) = \frac{q^{n/2}}{[(q, q)_n]^{1/2}} H_n(-q^{-1/2} e^{i\varphi}; q). \quad (5)$$

where [5, 30]

$$(x; q)_n \equiv (1-x)(1-xq)(1-xq^2) \cdots (1-xq^{n-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} q^{\frac{1}{2}j(j-1)} x^j. \quad (6)$$

The orthonormalization integral is written as

$$I_{mn}(q) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_m(-q^{-1/2} e^{i\varphi}; q) H_n(-q^{-1/2} e^{-i\varphi}; q) \vartheta_3(\varphi; q) \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi}$$

and using the definition (1) we get

$$I_{mn}(q) = \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^{r+s} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-1)/2} q^{s(s-1)/2} q^{-rs} = \frac{(q, q)_n}{q^n} \delta_{m,n}, \quad (7)$$

a result discussed by Carlitz [4] (see the appendix for a proof).

In the same form as for the harmonic oscillator on the line, where the probability distribution for  $x$  is  $\Phi^{(n)}(x; b) dx = |H_n(x; b)|^2 \exp(-x^2/b^2) dx$ , with  $H_n(x; b)$  the standard Hermite polynomial and  $b$  the harmonic oscillator width, acting as a controlling parameter, we may guess that the expression constructed with the  $\vartheta_3$ -function and the RSF  $\Omega^{(n)}(\varphi; q) d\varphi = |R_n(\varphi; q)|^2 \vartheta_3(\varphi; q) d\varphi$  is, as a matter of fact, a good candidate for the angle probability distribution, with  $q$  (or  $\mu$ ) a parameter controlling the distribution width.

Noting that  $\vartheta_3(\varphi; q)$  is an even function of  $\varphi$ , and also guided by previous results [13–15], we propose a Weyl–Wigner mapping of an operator  $\widehat{O}$  by taking the Fourier transform, namely,

$$O(m, \theta) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{im\tilde{\theta}} \left\langle \theta - \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} \left| \widehat{O} \right| \theta + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} \right\rangle \vartheta_3(\theta - \tilde{\theta}/2; q) \frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{2\pi}. \quad (8)$$

This expression defines a quantum phase space representative of operator  $\widehat{O}$ . It must be noted that the choice for the  $\vartheta_3(\varphi; q)$  argument could be  $\theta + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2}$  as well; the change would result in a change of sign in the  $\tilde{\theta}$  variable that leads, however, to the same final expression.

In this form, choosing  $\widehat{O}_n = |n\rangle\langle n|$ , the projector for the  $n$ -quanta harmonic oscillator (useful for writing a density operator  $\widehat{\rho} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n |n\rangle\langle n|$ ,  $p_n$  being probabilities), we can get the Wigner function associated with the RSP. Setting  $\langle \theta - \tilde{\theta}/2 | n \rangle = R_n(\theta - \tilde{\theta}/2; q)$  (and equivalently for  $\langle n | \theta + \tilde{\theta}/2 \rangle$ ) and using equation (4), equation (8) becomes

$$O_n(m, \theta) = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\mu t^2 + it\theta} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{im\tilde{\theta}} e^{-it\tilde{\theta}/2} R_n(\theta - \tilde{\theta}/2; q) R_n^*(\theta + \tilde{\theta}/2; q) \frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{2\pi}.$$

Now, using equations (5) and (1) we get the Wigner function

$$O_n(m, \theta) = \frac{q^n}{(q, q)_n} \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\mu t^2 + it\theta} \sum_{r,s=0}^n (-1)^{r+s} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} e^{\mu(r+s)} e^{i\theta(r-s)} \frac{\sin(m - \frac{t+r+s}{2})\pi}{(m - \frac{t+r+s}{2})\pi} \quad (9)$$

from which we can extract the probability distributions for both action and angle.

By first integrating equation (9) over the angle variable  $\theta$ , we obtain a probability distribution, namely,

$$\Lambda^{(n)}(m) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \rho^{(n)}(m, \theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \frac{q^n}{(q, q)_n} \sum_{r,s=0}^n (-1)^{r+s} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} e^{\mu(r+s)} e^{-\mu(s-r)^2} \frac{\sin(m-s)\pi}{(m-s)\pi}, \quad (10)$$

then making use of expressions (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3) and carrying out the summations in (10), we get

$$\Lambda^{(n)}(m) = \frac{q^n}{(q, q)_n} \left[ (-1)^n q^{\frac{n}{2}(n-1)} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^{r-n}) \right] \frac{\sin(m-n)\pi}{(m-n)\pi}$$

and as the factor in brackets is  $(q, q)_n/q^n$  (see the appendix), we have

$$\Lambda^{(n)}(m) = \frac{\sin(m-n)\pi}{(m-n)\pi} = \delta_{m,n}. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, the distribution function  $\Lambda^{(n)}(m)$  only depends on the discrete values associated with the polynomial indices of the RSP, and  $m \geq 0$  assume the role of an action variable.

On the other hand, by performing the summation over  $m$  in equation (9) we get the angle probability distribution, namely,

$$\Omega^{(n)}(\theta, \mu) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} O^{(n)}(m, \theta) = \frac{q^n}{(q, q)_n} \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\mu t^2 + it\theta} \sum_{r,s=0}^n (-1)^{r+s} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} e^{\mu(r+s)} e^{i\theta(r-s)} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(m - \frac{t+r+s}{2}\right)\pi}{\left(m - \frac{t+r+s}{2}\right)\pi},$$

and since the sum over  $m$  equals 1 for  $(t+r+s)/2$  integer or half-integer, we get

$$\Omega^{(n)}(\theta, \mu) = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\mu t^2 + it\theta} \left\{ \frac{q^n}{(q, q)_n} \sum_{r,s=0}^n (-1)^{r+s} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} e^{\mu(r+s)} e^{i\theta(r-s)} \right\}.$$

The curly bracket can be immediately identified as

$$\frac{q^n}{(q, q)_n} \sum_{r,s=0}^n (-1)^{r+s} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} e^{\mu(r+s)} e^{i\theta(r-s)} = |R_n(\theta; \mu)|^2,$$

so that, as anticipated, the angle probability distribution reads

$$\Omega^{(n)}(\theta, \mu) = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\mu t^2 + it\theta} |R_n(\theta; \mu)|^2 = \vartheta_3(\varphi; \mu) |R_n(\theta; \mu)|^2, \quad (12)$$

which is a well-behaved function in the compact support  $-\pi \leq \theta < \pi$ .

We can verify that the Wigner function is normalized to unity by just integrating equation (12) over its range of definition and recalling the orthogonalization procedure, or by summing expression (11) over  $m$  in the range  $0 \leq m < \infty$ .

As a first case of study it is now direct to particularize the Wigner function to the lowest Rogers-Szegő function, namely,  $n = 0$ , the vacuum state projector. In this case

$$O_0(m, \theta) = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\mu t^2 + it\theta} \frac{\sin\left(m - \frac{t}{2}\right)\pi}{\left(m - \frac{t}{2}\right)\pi}, \quad (13)$$

that gives  $\Lambda^{(0)}(m) = \delta_{m,0}$  for the action probability distribution and the angle probability distribution simplifies to

$$\Omega^{(0)}(\theta, \mu) = \vartheta_3(\theta; \mu), \quad (14)$$

since from equation (5)  $R_0(\theta; \mu) = 1$ .

In the same form, the normalized angle probability distribution for the second polynomial (projector  $\widehat{O}_1$ ) is

$$\Omega^{(1)}(\theta, \mu) = \frac{e^{-2\mu}}{1 - e^{-2\mu}} (1 - 2e^\mu \cos \theta + e^{2\mu}) \vartheta_3(\theta; \mu).$$

Finally, it is worth noting that the angle probability distribution is  $\mu$ -dependent as expected, so that the width of  $\Omega^{(n)}(\theta, \mu)$  is governed by the free parameter  $q$  (or  $\mu$ ), which is the parameter of the deformed Heisenberg algebra [18, 31].

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by FAPESP under contract no 00/15084-5 (SSM) and no 03/13488-0 (MR). DG and SSM acknowledge partial financial support from CNPq (Brasília). DG is grateful to N Atakishiyev for enlightening comments.

### Appendix. Carlitz orthogonality proof of the Rogers-Szegö polynomials

Let us first consider

$$I_{mn} = \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^{r+s} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} q^{\frac{r}{2}(r-1)} q^{\frac{s}{2}(s-1)} q^{-rs}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and using (6) in (A.1) we obtain

$$I_{mn} = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{\frac{r}{2}(r-1)} \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^{s-r}). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Now, without any loss of generality, we can assume that  $m \leq n$  (the inverse could also be considered). There are two situations to be discussed. First, for  $m < n$ , it is evident that the product on the rhs of (A.2) will vanish for all  $r$  (the rhs is constituted of a sum of products. Each summand has a product of terms where one of them will give  $(1 - q^{r-r}) = 0$ , since, as  $m < n$ ,  $s$  will necessarily assume the value  $r$ ). Therefore, the sum only has vanishing summands, since there will always be a zero factor in the products. Second, for  $m = n$  there will be only one term to be considered, namely  $r = m$ . Thus

$$I_{mn} = (-1)^n q^{\frac{n}{2}(n-1)} \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^{s-n}) \delta_{m,n} = q^{-n} (q; q)_n \delta_{n,m}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

### References

- [1] Szegö G 1991 *Orthogonal Polynomials* vol 23 (Providence, RI: AMS Colloquium Publications)
- [2] Szegö G 1926 *Sitzungsberichte Akad. Berlin* 242
- [3] Carlitz L 1956 *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* **41** 359
- [4] Carlitz L 1958 *Publicationes Math.* **5** 222
- [5] Andrews G E 1976 The theory of partitions *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley)
- [6] Atakishiyev N M and Nagiyev Sh M 1994 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** L611
- [7] Leaf B 1968 *J. Math. Phys.* **9** 65
- [8] de Groot S R and Suttrop S L 1972 *Foundations of Electrodynamics* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [9] Balazs N L and Jennings B K 1984 *Phys. Rep.* C **104** 347
- [10] Hillery M, O'Connell R F, Scully M O and Wigner E P 1984 *Phys. Rep.* C **10**
- [11] Kim Y S and Noz M E 1991 *Phase Space Picture of Quantum Mechanics* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [12] Ozório de Almeida A M 1998 *Phys. Rep.* C **295** 265
- [13] Berry M V 1977 *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* **287** 237
- [14] Mukunda N 1979 *Am. J. Phys.* **47** 182
- [15] Galetti D and de Toledo Piza A F R 1988 *Physica A* **149** 267
- [16] Ruzzi M and Galetti D 2002 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 4633
- [17] Bizarro J 1994 *Phys. Rev. A* **49** 3255
- [18] Macfarlane A J 1989 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** 4581
- [19] Floreanini R and Vinet L 1991 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22** 45
- [20] Floreanini R and Vinet L 1993 *Ann. Phys.* **221** 53
- [21] Galetti D 2003 *Braz. J. Phys.* **33** 148
- [22] Whittaker E T and Watson G N 1969 *A Course of Modern Analysis* (Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press)
- [23] Klimov A B and Chumakov S M 1997 *Phys. Lett. A* **235** 7
- [24] González J A and del Olmo M A 1998 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 8841
- [25] Kowalski K, Rembieliński J and Papaloucas L C 1996 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** 4149

- [26] Carruthers P and Martin Nieto M 1968 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **40** 411
- [27] Susskind L and Glogower J 1964 *Physics* **1** 49
- [28] Galetti D and Marchioli M A 1996 *Ann. Phys.* **249** 454
- [29] Mehta M L 1987 *J. Math. Phys.* **28** 781
- [30] Gasper G and Rahman M 1997 Basic hypergeometric series *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [31] Biedenharn L C 1989 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** L873